When measuring free light chains Choose Freelite® assays by Binding Site

- Only Freelite® assays are mentioned by name in the IMWG* guidelines¹-³ and numerous local country guidelines
- Recommended cut-off values were set using Freelite® tests as the <u>assays of choice</u> in clinical evaluations¹⁻³
- Only Freelite® assays are CE marked <u>and</u> FDA cleared for both diagnosis <u>and</u> monitoring of Multiple Myeloma <u>and</u> AL Amyloidosis

*IMWG- International Myeloma Working Group





IMWG cut-off values as Myeloma Defining Event '

✓ i/u FLC* ratio ≥ 100 ✓ iFLC** concentration ≥ 100 mg/L ✓ BMPC's >10%



Freelite® assays were established since 2001 for free light chain testing. Clinical utility is:



Cited in over 3000 scientific publications



Validated on different platforms and correlated using different batches of reagents



Proven in studies on large cohorts of patients in different geographical areas



The proven choice in over 1000 laboratories worldwide, including the largest myeloma centers

 * i/u FLC - involved/uninvolved free light chains ** iFLC - involved free light chain





When thinking of your patients, think **Freelite**® assays by Binding Site

Keep in mind

- The IMWG guidelines mention Freelite® assays by name.^{1-3,8}
- Many studies have proven that free light chain assays are not interchangeable ^{17,18 & 20}, so changing assays will require patient re-baselining.
- Continuity of multiple myeloma monitoring is crucial.
- Decisions based on clinically proven assays minimise risk for patients.



Guidelines and proposed clinical utilities relating to free light chain testing

- Raikumar SV, et al. International Myeloma Working Group updated criteria for the diagnosis of multiple myeloma. Lancet Oncol 2014; 15:e538-e548 Freelite® mentioned by name
- Dispenzieri A, et al. International Myeloma Working Group guidelines for serum-free light chain analysis in 2. multiple myeloma and related disorders. Leukemia 2009: 23:215-224 Freelite® mentioned by name
- Kumar S, et al. International Myeloma Working Group consensus criteria for response and minimal residual 3. disease assessment in multiple myeloma. Lancet Oncol 2016; 17:e328-46 Freelite® mentioned by name
- Kyle RA, et al. Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) and smoldering (asymptomatic) multiple myeloma: IMWG consensus perspectives risk factors for progression and guidelines for monitoring and management. Leukemia 2010; 24:1121-1127
- Dimopoulos M, et al. Consensus recommendations for standard investigative workup: report of the 5. International Myeloma Workshop Consensus Panel 3. Blood 2011; 117:4701-4705
- 6. Munshi NC, et al. Consensus recommendations for risk stratification in multiple myeloma: report of the International Myeloma Workshop Consensus Panel 2. Blood 2011; 117:4696-4700
- Moreau P, et al. Multiple myeloma: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and followup. Ann Oncol 2017: 28:iv52-iv61
- Bird J, et al. UK Myeloma Forum (UKMF) and Nordic Myeloma Study Group (NMSG): guidelines for 8. the investigation of newly detected M-proteins and the management of monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS). Br J Haematol 2009; 147:22-42 Freelite® mentioned by name
- Bird J, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of multiple myeloma 2014. BCSH guideline 2014
- 10. Kumar SK, et al. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines In Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®) for Multiple Myeloma V.2.2019 (Accessed 06/03/19). Available from: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/
- Myeloma: diagnosis and management. NICE Guidelines 2016; Available from: https://www.nice.org.uk/ guidance/ng35
- Caers J, et al. European Myeloma Network recommendations on tools for the diagnosis and monitoring of multiple myeloma: what to use and when. Haematologica 2018; 103:1772-1784
- 13. Gillmore JD, et al. Guidelines on the diagnosis and investigation of AL amyloidosis. Br J Haematol 2015; 168:207-218
- 14. Wechalekar AD, et al. Guidelines on the management of AL amyloidosis. Br J Haematol 2015; 168:186-206
- 15. Kumar SK, et al. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines In Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®) for Systemic Light Chain Amyloidosis V.1.2019 (Accessed 12/12/2018). Available from: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/ physician_gls/pdf/amyloidosis.pdf
- Comenzo RL, et al. Consensus guidelines for the conduct and reporting of clinical trials in systemic lightchain (AL) amyloidosis. Leukemia 2012; 26:2317-232
- 17. Schieferdecker, A. et.al. Blood Cancer J. 2020 Jan 9;10(1):2. doi: 10.1038/s41408-019-0267-8
- 18. Bossuyt, X. et al. Diagnostic thresholds for free light chains in multiple myeloma depend on the assay used. Leukemia 32, 1815-1818 (2018)
- 19. Jacobs, J. F., Tate, J. R. & Merlini, G. Is accuracy of serum free light chain measurement achievable? Clin. Chem. Lab. Med. 54, 1021-1030 (2016).
- Caillon, H. et al. Comparison of Sebia free light chain assay with freelite assay for the clinical management of diagnosis, response, and relapse assessment inmultiple myeloma. Clin. Lymph., Myelom. Leuk. 19, e228-e237 (2019).
- Jacobs, J. F. M. et al. Evaluation of a new free light chain ELISA assay: bringing coherence with electrophoretic methods. Clin. Chem. Lab. Med. 56, 312-322 (2018).
- Mateos M-V, et al. International Myeloma Working Group risk stratification model for smoldering multiple myeloma (5MM). Blood Cancer Journal 2020;10/102



